

Learning in Immersive Virtual Worlds from the Perspective of Media Didactics

Asma Al Mokhtar Miftah Alhaj Salem¹, Rawad Mansour Abdulhafith Alfaqi², Ahmed Jamah Ahmed Alnagrat^{3*}

¹ Department of Computer Science and Information Technology, Higher Institute of Sciences and Technology Soq Alkhamis Msehel, Tripoli, Libya

² Department of Computer and Information Technology, Higher Institute of Engineering Technology Garyan, Garyan, Libya

³ Department of Computer Science and Information Technology, Higher Institute of Science and Technology Wadi al-Shati, Fezzan, Libya

³ jamah150538@gmail.com



*Corresponding Author

Article History:

Submitted: 31-10-2024

Accepted: 08-11-2024

Published: 15-11-2024

Keywords:

Educational technology; experiential learning; immersive learning; media didactics; virtual reality

Brilliance: Research of Artificial Intelligence is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0).

ABSTRACT

Virtual Reality (VR) technologies are increasingly recognized for their potential to enrich educational settings, yet their integration often emphasizes technological novelty over pedagogical effectiveness. In the domain of media didactics, VR's value lies not only in its immersive and interactive capabilities but also in its capacity to fulfill specific educational objectives through structured engagement. This study explores the role of immersive VR environments in supporting educational activities by aligning VR's affordances—such as realism, interactivity, and user engagement—with established didactic principles. The primary objective is to provide a framework that encourages educators to implement VR in ways that are pedagogically sound, thereby enhancing learner engagement and skill acquisition. Using a qualitative approach, the study synthesizes recent literature and analyzes case studies within four key VR applications: training environments, construction tools, exploration experiences, and experimental simulations. Results indicate that VR significantly contributes to experiential learning across these domains, with applications including skill-based training in virtual workshops, exploratory learning through virtual field trips, and controlled experimentation that supports hypothesis testing in virtual worlds. The study concludes that VR holds transformative potential for education; however, its impact is maximized when embedded within a purposeful didactic framework. By aligning VR applications with clear educational goals, VR can foster cognitive and emotional engagement, improving learning outcomes across diverse disciplines.

INTRODUCTION

Virtual Reality (VR) technologies are revolutionizing the educational landscape, providing immersive and interactive environments that foster novel approaches to teaching and learning. The educational potential of VR stems from its ability to create lifelike simulations, allowing learners to immerse themselves in virtual scenarios that closely mirror real-world settings (Slater & Sanchez-Vives, 2016). Through a combination of visual, auditory, and sometimes tactile stimuli, VR enables learners to experience scenarios that would be difficult or costly to replicate in traditional classroom environments. However, the adoption of VR in education has often prioritized technological novelty over pedagogical purpose. In media didactics, effectively integrating VR requires a structured framework that emphasizes educational objectives, ensuring its application serves specific learning goals rather than functioning as a mere technological display. Research in media didactics supports this structured approach, underscoring the significance of media in achieving pedagogical goals within modern educational environments. Additionally, the role of varied media, including VR, as a tool for enhancing the teaching and learning experience through alignment with targeted educational aims, rather than focusing solely on the technology itself.

VR's applications in education can be divided into two main types: non-immersive and immersive. Non-immersive VR, which utilizes standard computer screens and basic interactive devices, is common in online learning, virtual tours, and video conferencing (Alnagrat, 2022). While useful, non-immersive VR lacks the depth of interaction and sense of presence afforded by fully immersive VR, which leverages specialized equipment such as VR headsets and data gloves to create a fully interactive experience. Immersive VR provides an advanced sense of engagement, as users feel physically "present" within the virtual environment. This characteristic enables educators to create scenarios that are difficult, costly, or even impossible to replicate in real-world settings, such as exploring the depths of space, experiencing historical events firsthand, or practicing medical procedures in a risk-free environment (Parong & Mayer, 2018).



Despite VR's technological capabilities, its effective integration into education remains a challenge due to a lack of alignment with pedagogical frameworks. Research on VR has largely focused on its psychological effects—such as the sensations of presence and immersion—and its technological components, while less attention has been given to its didactic applications and the specific educational affordances it offers. This gap highlights the need for a media didactics approach that situates VR within educational theory, exploring how VR can support diverse learning objectives across disciplines (Radianti et al., 2020). When aligned with established learning theories and instructional strategies, VR can be effectively harnessed by educators to both engage learners and enhance educational outcomes through meticulously designed VR environments.

This study aims to address this gap by investigating VR's role in media didactics, specifically examining how immersive VR can be used to support a range of educational activities that align with pedagogical objectives. The classification of VR learning environments, such as training worlds, leverages VR's capacity to provide structured practice in procedural skills. This approach is effective in vocational and skill-based training, where simulated environments offer instant feedback and immersive experiential learning. Studies highlight that VR-based training enhances procedural knowledge retention, with immersive simulations outperforming traditional methods (J. Zhang, 2019). VR frameworks designed with high physical and cognitive fidelity can further strengthen skill acquisition, especially in technical fields where real-world training might pose challenges (Hochmitz & Yuviler-Gavish, 2011).

Construction worlds encourage learners to design and build virtual models, facilitating a constructivist approach to learning by allowing students to create artifacts that reinforce their understanding of complex concepts. Research shows that virtual platforms enhance learning experiences by facilitating the visualization of spatial relationships and architectural components, which can be challenging in traditional learning environments (Barbero-Barrera et al., 2022). Exploration worlds enable self-directed learning and discovery, giving students opportunities to virtually experience places and phenomena otherwise inaccessible, such as underwater ecosystems or ancient civilizations (Patil, 2021). Experimental worlds similarly support scientific exploration by providing virtual spaces where students can test hypotheses without real-world limitations, fostering a safe environment for experimentation that deepens conceptual understanding and supports the scientific inquiry process (Alnagrat et al., 2022).

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of VR's educational affordances, demonstrating its efficacy in creating flexible, learner-centered environments that significantly enhance cognitive engagement and support experiential learning. By situating VR within a media didactics framework, this research encourages educators to apply VR in a structured, pedagogically sound manner rather than as an isolated technological tool. When integrated into broader instructional strategies, VR not only fosters active learning but also enhances both cognitive and affective engagement. Moreover, it enables educators to pursue learning objectives that extend beyond traditional knowledge acquisition, addressing essential competencies such as empathy, social awareness, and critical thinking (Papak & Mezak, 2021; Yanqing Wang et al., 2023)

This research aims to bridge the gap between VR technology and media didactics, providing a framework for understanding and applying VR within structured educational contexts. By aligning VR applications with specific didactic objectives, educators and researchers can harness the immersive qualities of VR to create meaningful learning experiences that enhance student engagement and learning outcomes. The findings of this study are intended to guide educators, researchers, and stakeholders in making informed decisions about the integration of VR in diverse educational settings, ensuring that VR contributes to long-term, sustainable educational practices.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Virtual Reality (VR) in education is increasingly recognized as a transformative tool, with the ability to engage learners through immersive, interactive environments that go beyond traditional learning methods. VR's core features—immersion, interaction, and imagination—provide unique educational affordances that distinguish it from other digital media and align with experiential and constructivist approaches to learning (Burdea & Coiffet, 2024). This literature review discusses these core features, explores VR's position on the Reality-Virtuality Continuum, and examines the application of VR across various educational fields, highlighting its potential for enhancing experiential learning.

Core Features of VR in Education

The defining characteristics of VR—immersion, interaction, and imagination—allow learners to engage with content on sensory, cognitive, and emotional levels, contributing to a deeper learning experience. Burdea and Coiffet (2024) Virtual Reality (VR) has been conceptualized as an "I3" technology due to its distinctive capacity to merge immersion, interaction, and imagination, which collectively enhance user engagement and experiential depth. Immersion, often highlighted as VR's foundational attribute, is further subdivided into physical and mental dimensions. Physical immersion involves the user's sensory engagement through technology, such as haptic and visual feedback, while mental immersion pertains to the cognitive and emotional engagement VR fosters, creating a deep sense of presence and involvement. These immersive features, supported by advanced interaction mechanisms like multimodal interfaces and sensory feedback, play a critical role in enabling users to interact intuitively and meaningfully within virtual environments, further enhancing the imaginative scope of VR. Physical immersion refers to the sense of being



physically present in a virtual environment, achieved through VR hardware that adjusts visual and auditory stimuli in response to the user's movements. Mental immersion, or "presence," refers to the psychological sensation of "being there" in the virtual space, allowing users to respond to VR environments in ways that closely mirror real-life reactions. Research has shown that this immersive quality can lead to improved engagement and learning outcomes, especially in skill-based and experiential learning contexts.

Interaction in VR enables active engagement, allowing users to manipulate virtual objects and receive immediate feedback, which supports experiential and active learning. Recent research underscores VR's capacity to create interactive learning environments that allow users to explore, manipulate, and experiment within virtual spaces. For instance, VR-based simulations have proven highly effective in fields like medical education and engineering, where hands-on practice is critical. These simulations enhance knowledge acquisition and skill development by providing immersive, realistic training experiences that improve learner engagement and retention, particularly in procedural and skill-based tasks (Lai et al., 2023). VR's interactivity has shown substantial promise in medical fields such as surgery, anatomy, and clinical skills training, where realistic practice scenarios are crucial for building confidence and competence (Magalhães et al., 2023). Imagination, the third component, enhances the educational value of VR by allowing students to visualize and engage with abstract or otherwise inaccessible concepts. Imaginative VR experiences align well with constructivist approaches to learning, where students construct knowledge through hands-on, exploratory experiences. This has been particularly effective in fields like architectural design and the natural sciences, where students can create and manipulate complex 3D models in a virtual setting (Alnagrat et al., 2021). This feature has also proven beneficial in fostering empathy and social learning, as learners can explore perspectives and environments they might not otherwise encounter.

The Reality-Virtuality Continuum and Mixed Reality

The Reality-Virtuality Continuum, proposed by Milgram and Kishino (1994), categorizes VR as a fully immersive experience distinct from Augmented Reality (AR) and Mixed Reality (MR), which blend real and virtual elements. This continuum provides a framework for understanding the degrees of immersion possible in VR and related technologies. Skarbez et al. (2021) revisited this continuum, suggesting that it can be expanded to reflect the increasing sophistication of MR applications, which combine real and virtual environments in ways that blur traditional distinctions. For educational purposes, VR occupies the far end of this continuum, providing a fully immersive experience that facilitates focused, intensive engagement. In contrast, augmented reality (AR) is well-suited for enhancing real-world experiences with supplementary digital content, thereby supporting more interactive, context-based learning scenarios (Mystakidis & Lympouridis, 2023). This blend of immersive media technologies in education provides new avenues for connecting learners with content in both augmented and virtual modalities, fostering engagement across diverse instructional settings.

The continuum's expanded understanding has practical implications for educators, as VR, AR, and MR each offer distinct affordances depending on the learning context. While AR may enhance real-world tasks with added information, VR's fully immersive nature is better suited for creating environments where users can disengage from physical reality to focus entirely on the virtual experience. This distinction is particularly important in education, where immersive VR can be harnessed for experiential learning and skills practice.

Applications of VR in Educational Contexts

Recent literature categorizes VR applications in education into four main types: training worlds, construction worlds, exploration worlds, and experimental worlds. Each type aligns with specific learning objectives, allowing educators to tailor VR experiences to meet particular instructional goals.

Virtual Reality (VR) demonstrates considerable efficacy within training environments focused on skill acquisition through structured, repetitive practice. Particularly in vocational training and healthcare education, VR-based simulations are utilized to closely replicate real-world tasks, providing trainees with a safe, controlled environment to refine skills. This immersive approach supports progressive skill development and allows learners to master complex procedures without the risks inherent in real-life practice. The HandLeVR project, which developed a VR-based automotive painting workshop, provides trainees with instant feedback, enabling them to refine their skills without the logistical constraints of real-world practice (Triepels et al., 2020). This approach has proven effective in fields requiring procedural skill mastery, such as surgery and construction (Lungu et al., 2021).

Construction worlds facilitate constructivist learning by allowing students to design and manipulate virtual objects, a feature increasingly leveraged in architecture and engineering education. These environments enable students to create and interact with complex models, promoting engagement and hands-on learning. For instance, platforms like CoSpaces Edu have been utilized beyond technical fields, supporting language and humanities education where students construct virtual representations of literary works or historical events, thereby enhancing creativity and language skills (Alizadehsalehi et al., 2019). The use of VR in construction settings has also expanded to other domains, allowing learners to visualize abstract concepts and participate in hands-on learning activities that reinforce conceptual understanding (Marks & Thomas, 2022; Xiao et al., 2023).



Exploration Worlds: VR's immersive capabilities allow learners to explore inaccessible or hazardous environments safely. For example, VR applications in biology and environmental science offer virtual field trips to ecosystems or endangered habitats, fostering environmental awareness and empathy (Chiang, 2021). Exploration worlds have been particularly effective in promoting declarative learning, as students acquire knowledge by observing and exploring virtual environments (Parong & Mayer, 2018). Research indicates that VR-based exploration enhances engagement and retention, making it an effective tool for experiential learning, especially in disciplines that benefit from spatial and contextual understanding. VR environments allow learners to interact actively with content, promoting deeper cognitive involvement and improved learning outcomes compared to traditional methods (Babu et al., 2018). These immersive experiences foster experiential learning by enabling students to construct knowledge through meaningful interactions, thus enhancing both engagement and retention (Stern & Powell, 2020).

Experimental Worlds: VR also enables learners to conduct experiments in a virtual setting, offering opportunities to test hypotheses and explore scientific concepts without the limitations of physical reality. Dede (2009) emphasized VR's potential to create experimental spaces where learners can manipulate variables, observe outcomes, and understand causal relationships. In subjects like chemistry, VR environments allow students to experiment with hazardous substances safely, while in physics, VR simulations enable learners to explore gravitational and other forces in a controlled, manipulable environment (Xu, 2022). This application aligns VR with inquiry-based learning models, where students engage in scientific reasoning and problem-solving.

Addressing the Research Gaps and Future Directions

Despite VR's evident potential in education, several challenges and research gaps remain. While VR holds considerable promise for educational innovation, several challenges and research gaps persist. The literature calls for further investigation into VR's long-term impacts on knowledge retention and cognitive development, emphasizing the need to assess these factors within structured pedagogical frameworks (Lerner et al., 2020). Currently, many studies focus primarily on VR's technological features, often overlooking its integration with established instructional methodologies. This gap limits practical guidance for educators on effective VR integration. Future research should explore VR's effects on both cognitive and affective learning outcomes across diverse academic disciplines to ensure it becomes a sustainable and adaptable educational tool.

Accessibility and cost also present significant challenges. Although affordable VR options like Oculus Quest and Google Cardboard have made VR more accessible, educators may still face technical and logistical barriers in implementing VR in classroom settings. Addressing these challenges requires collaboration between educators, researchers, and developers to create VR applications that are both pedagogically sound and user-friendly (Z. Zhang et al., 2022). The academic literature on VR in education underscores its transformative potential in creating immersive and interactive learning experiences. By examining VR's foundational features and categorizing its applications into training, construction, exploration, and experimental worlds, this review highlights VR's adaptability in supporting diverse educational objectives. To fully leverage VR in educational contexts, there is a need to transition from purely technology-driven implementations to a media didactics approach that integrates VR within structured pedagogical frameworks aimed at enhancing learning outcomes. Continued research is essential to evaluate VR's effectiveness across various educational environments, thereby fostering its sustainable and accessible use in diverse learning settings (Onkovich, 2013).

METHOD

This study aims to investigate the role of Virtual Reality (VR) in educational settings from a media didactics perspective, focusing on how immersive VR environments can be effectively used to enhance learning experiences. Given the interdisciplinary nature of VR's applications across education, our research adopts a qualitative approach that synthesizes recent literature and case studies, categorizing VR applications into four primary educational environments: training worlds, construction worlds, exploration worlds, and experimental worlds. This methodology enables a comprehensive exploration of VR's didactic potential, allowing us to address its unique contributions to educational outcomes within structured theoretical frameworks.

Research Design

The study employs a multi-step qualitative research design that incorporates three key components:

Literature Review and Theoretical Framework Development

In developing the theoretical framework for VR in educational contexts, we conducted an extensive literature review, which revealed the significance of immersive, experiential, and interactive learning within constructivist and media didactics paradigms. This exploration underscored VR's core elements—immersion, interaction, and imagination—and their potential to enhance learning outcomes. Immersive VR technologies enable personalized and interactive three-dimensional environments, increasing motivation and engagement by supporting self-directed and student-centered learning experiences (Chen et al., 2023; Mahmoud et al., 2020). Additionally, VR's constructivist



capabilities encourage active, experiential learning, aligning well with contemporary educational approaches (Ly et al., 2017; Mystakidis & Lypouridis, 2023). The framework guided the categorization of VR applications into distinct types, each aligned with specific learning objectives.

Case Study Selection and Analysis

To explore VR's practical applications, we conducted a case study analysis focusing on diverse educational sectors, including vocational training, architecture, natural sciences, and social studies. Case studies were selected based on two criteria: relevance to one of the four VR environments (training, construction, exploration, or experimental) and availability of qualitative data regarding learner outcomes, engagement, and educational effectiveness. Each case study provided insights into the practical implications of VR for teaching and learning, highlighting both the opportunities and challenges encountered in various educational settings.

Data Synthesis and Categorization

The data from the literature review and case studies were then synthesized and categorized according to the four VR environment types. Each category was analyzed based on its alignment with specific didactic goals, including skill acquisition, conceptual understanding, empathy development, and scientific inquiry. This categorization process allowed us to assess VR's potential to meet different learning objectives, offering insights into how educators can incorporate VR meaningfully within curricula. Additionally, the study explores factors such as user engagement, knowledge retention, and cognitive load, contributing to a nuanced understanding of VR's impact on learning.

Data Collection and Sources

The study utilized secondary data derived from academic journals, conference proceedings, and industry reports published between 2015 and 2023. These sources were selected to ensure a focus on recent advancements in VR technology and its educational applications. Key sources included databases such as IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and Scopus, which provided access to peer-reviewed articles. We also reviewed government and organizational reports on VR in education to obtain broader perspectives on policy and implementation challenges. Data extraction focused on identifying each study's research objectives, methodology, sample population, VR technology used, and reported educational outcomes.

Each case study involved in the analysis offered specific data points, such as learner engagement metrics, user feedback, skill improvement assessments, and comparisons between VR-based learning and traditional teaching methods. Studies that employed quantitative measures (e.g., pre- and post-test assessments) provided additional rigor to our analysis, allowing us to contextualize the qualitative findings within a broader empirical framework.

Analytical Approach

In his study, the analytical approach combined thematic analysis with comparative case study analysis to draw insights across the four VR environment categories.

Thematic Analysis

Thematic analysis was used to identify key patterns related to VR's educational affordances. Themes such as immersion, interaction, imagination, skill acquisition, conceptual understanding, and engagement were identified as central to VR's didactic value. Each theme was examined in relation to the case studies, focusing on how specific VR features supported these themes. For instance, we examined how VR training environments facilitate skill acquisition by enabling learners to repetitively engage in complex tasks within a virtual setting. This approach leverages repetition as a critical component in skill development, allowing learners to deepen their proficiency through controlled, iterative practice (Bonney et al., 2017). Research demonstrates that repetitive VR-based practice can effectively mirror real-world skill acquisition processes, reinforcing procedural memory and enhancing the learner's capability to perform complex tasks accurately and independently (Y Wang et al., 2022). Similarly, we explored how immersive exploration worlds supported environmental awareness and empathy development by exposing learners to virtual ecosystems or historical sites (Parong & Mayer, 2018).

Comparative Case Study Analysis

Comparative case study analysis allowed us to examine differences and similarities across the four VR environments, providing insights into the unique educational affordances of each type. This method helped to highlight how VR's application varied based on the learning context, supporting our categorization of VR into training, construction, exploration, and experimental environments. For example, we compared studies that used VR for skill-based training in healthcare with those that employed VR for exploratory learning in environmental science, noting how the level of immersion and interactivity differed depending on the didactic goals (Lerner et al., 2020).



Evaluation of Learning Outcomes and Engagement

The effectiveness of VR as a didactic tool was assessed by evaluating reported learning outcomes, engagement levels, and learner feedback across the case studies. Each case study provided qualitative data on the cognitive and affective impact of VR on learners, such as improved retention, enhanced motivation, and increased empathy. Additionally, where available, quantitative data on learning gains or performance improvements provided further validation of VR's educational benefits. This evaluation allowed us to explore the specific conditions under which VR enhances learning, as well as potential limitations such as cognitive overload or high resource demands (Marks & Thomas, 2022; Zhu et al., 2023).

Limitations

This study faced certain limitations, primarily due to the reliance on secondary data and the limited availability of long-term studies on VR's impact in education. While recent literature provided valuable insights, there is a need for longitudinal research that examines VR's effects over extended periods, particularly in terms of knowledge retention and cognitive development. Additionally, the scope of the study was constrained by the diversity of VR applications across educational contexts, which limited our ability to draw generalizable conclusions about VR's effectiveness.

Implications for Future Research and Practice

The findings from this methodology reveal several implications for future research and educational practice, particularly regarding the integration of VR within structured didactic frameworks. First, while this study has provided an initial categorization of VR's educational applications, future research could deepen this understanding by investigating how VR environments interact with specific learning theories, such as constructivism, behaviorism, and experiential learning. For instance, examining VR's role in social constructivist learning environments, where learners collaborate and build knowledge together in virtual spaces, could offer new insights into VR's potential for fostering teamwork and collaborative problem-solving skills. Similarly, research exploring VR's ability to support behaviorist learning through repetitive task-based training may further elucidate its applications in skill-based education, particularly in fields like healthcare, engineering, and vocational training. Second, there is a need for empirical studies that examine VR's impact on long-term learning outcomes and cognitive development. Most existing studies focus on immediate or short-term effects, such as engagement and knowledge acquisition, leaving a gap in understanding VR's influence over extended periods. Longitudinal research could provide valuable data on retention, cognitive load, and learner satisfaction, as well as VR's potential to foster durable skills and knowledge. Additionally, by exploring the potential for cognitive overload in highly immersive environments, researchers could identify optimal levels of interaction and immersion that balance engagement with cognitive processing. Third, accessibility remains a critical challenge in VR's educational implementation. Future studies could investigate cost-effective methods for incorporating VR into diverse educational contexts, particularly for underserved schools and institutions with limited resources. This includes examining low-cost VR tools such as Google Cardboard, mobile-based VR applications, or the integration of VR with augmented reality (AR) solutions that require minimal hardware investment. Moreover, developing scalable VR content that aligns with universal curriculum standards would facilitate broader access to VR-enhanced learning, especially in remote or rural areas where physical access to advanced facilities is limited.

Practical Recommendations for Educators

Based on the insights gained through this research, several practical recommendations can be made for educators interested in adopting VR in their instructional practices. First, educators should approach VR integration with clear didactic goals in mind, selecting VR applications that align with specific learning outcomes. For instance, in skill-based courses such as vocational training, educators may prioritize VR training worlds that provide hands-on practice with realistic feedback, while those teaching conceptual subjects like history or geography may find value in exploration worlds that enable immersive field trips to historical sites or natural ecosystems. Second, it is essential to provide students with guidance on how to navigate and engage with VR environments. Educators should incorporate introductory sessions that familiarize students with VR hardware and software to minimize cognitive load associated with learning new technology. Additionally, integrating VR with established learning strategies—such as scaffolding and reflective learning—can help students maximize their learning experiences by transitioning from instructor-guided to more independent, exploratory learning. Finally, educators should consider using VR as a supplement rather than a standalone tool. The most effective VR applications often involve blending VR experiences with traditional instructional methods, creating a hybrid learning environment where students can engage with both virtual and physical resources. This approach allows students to benefit from VR's immersive qualities while still grounding their learning in real-world contexts and ensuring that they meet curriculum standards.

The methodology employed in this study provides a robust framework for understanding VR's role in media didactics and its applications in education. By categorizing VR environments into training, construction, exploration, and experimental worlds, we highlight VR's unique contributions to diverse educational goals. This qualitative approach, combining thematic analysis and comparative case study analysis, offers a comprehensive view of how VR



can be integrated into educational practice. The findings of this study are expected to guide educators and researchers in leveraging VR's immersive capabilities to enhance learning outcomes in a structured and pedagogically sound manner.

RESULT

The study's results offer a comprehensive view of Virtual Reality (VR) applications across four distinct educational environments: training worlds, construction worlds, exploration worlds, and experimental worlds. Each environment contributes uniquely to educational outcomes, supporting objectives like skill acquisition, conceptual understanding, experiential learning, and cognitive engagement.

Training worlds enhance procedural and psychomotor skills by simulating real-world tasks, allowing learners to practice in safe, controlled settings. For example, VR simulations in healthcare and vocational training provide students with realistic, hands-on experience, reducing the risks associated with live practice. The HandLeVR project, a VR-based automotive painting workshop, allows trainees to improve their technique without material waste or health hazards, providing instant feedback in a setting that minimizes real-world risks. Studies reveal that these VR environments help learners develop confidence, reduce anxiety, and achieve skill retention, as repetitive practice allows for consistent improvement in a safe environment. Additionally, VR simulations in emergency response training have been found to improve both accuracy and reaction times in high-stress scenarios, bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, which is crucial in fields requiring quick decision-making and precision.

Construction worlds foster creativity and conceptual understanding by enabling learners to design, build, and manipulate virtual models, making these environments particularly effective for fields like architecture and engineering. VR construction allows students to visualize changes in real time, providing deeper insight into structural relationships. For instance, students using CoSpaces Edu in language and social studies classes construct virtual representations of books or historical events, combining visual and audio elements to create interactive, immersive artifacts. This hands-on approach has been shown to increase engagement and retention, as students become actively involved in constructing their learning experiences. Studies further suggest that VR construction environments improve spatial skills, deepen conceptual understanding, and foster critical thinking through interactive experimentation.

Exploration worlds facilitate experiential learning and empathy by immersing students in environments that are otherwise inaccessible, such as historical sites, ecosystems, or hazardous areas. VR applications in environmental science, for example, have enabled students to experience remote natural habitats, fostering environmental awareness and empathy. In historical education, VR simulations allow students to explore ancient civilizations or historical events, enhancing their connection to the past through spatial representation. Findings indicate that exploration worlds significantly contribute to affective learning outcomes, such as empathy and cultural awareness, as learners engage directly with the material. Moreover, VR exploration worlds support self-directed learning by allowing students to navigate these environments at their own pace, encouraging curiosity and independent inquiry, which further strengthens cognitive engagement and retention.

Experimental worlds in VR provide a platform for scientific inquiry and hypothesis testing, offering controlled environments where learners can experiment with phenomena that may be unsafe or impractical in physical settings. In chemistry education, VR simulations allow students to safely mix chemicals, observe reactions, and learn about molecular structures. These experimental worlds are valuable for visualizing complex scientific concepts, as they provide a hands-on approach to exploring theories and principles. In fields like physics, VR enables learners to experiment with forces and observe changes in real time, reinforcing theoretical knowledge with practice. Additionally, VR environments in social science research allow students to participate in simulated social interactions and ethical dilemmas, fostering critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

The results demonstrate VR's versatility in addressing various educational goals, from skill development to empathy building. Each type of VR environment offers structured, immersive experiences that enhance both cognitive and affective learning outcomes. When thoughtfully integrated within media didactics, VR can serve as a transformative educational tool, allowing educators to leverage its immersive qualities to create meaningful, engaging learning environments. These findings highlight the importance of a structured approach to VR implementation, ensuring that its unique educational affordances are fully utilized in ways that support diverse learning objectives. The results of this study also reveal that VR environments, when aligned with specific didactic goals, can enhance engagement and learning outcomes across diverse subjects and student populations. Training worlds, for instance, are highly effective in vocational and professional education, where students benefit from repetitive practice and real-time feedback without the risks associated with physical training. In these settings, VR provides a safe space for learners to practice and refine complex skills, building both proficiency and confidence in their abilities. This characteristic of VR is particularly impactful in healthcare, engineering, and emergency response training, where precision and quick decision-making are critical. The immediate feedback and the ability to correct mistakes on the spot contribute to a significant reduction in errors when transitioning to real-world applications, demonstrating VR's potential to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical execution.

Construction worlds add a valuable dimension to VR's educational applications by enabling learners to experiment with creating and modifying virtual objects and environments. This ability to construct and visualize



abstract concepts has proven especially beneficial in STEM fields, where students can model and test theories in physics, engineering, and mathematics. For example, architecture students can build and test virtual structures, while engineering students can manipulate mechanical models to understand how various components interact. These VR environments promote hands-on engagement with material that would otherwise be difficult to grasp, helping students develop spatial awareness, creativity, and problem-solving skills. Furthermore, construction worlds support collaboration, as students can work together to build and modify virtual models, enhancing teamwork and communication skills. This collaborative approach aligns with constructivist principles, encouraging learners to take an active role in their education by constructing knowledge through interaction and experimentation.

The results also underscore the power of VR exploration worlds in creating impactful experiential learning opportunities. By immersing students in virtual settings that replicate real-world environments, exploration worlds offer experiential learning that extends beyond traditional classroom instruction. In environmental science, VR applications allow students to explore ecosystems and observe biodiversity in ways that foster a deeper appreciation for environmental conservation. This type of learning is particularly effective for promoting empathy, as students gain a firsthand understanding of the impact of human activity on natural habitats. Historical VR applications similarly enhance learning by allowing students to walk through reconstructions of historical sites, providing context and depth to historical events. The affective learning outcomes associated with exploration worlds—including increased empathy, cultural awareness, and personal connection to the material—demonstrate VR's potential to influence not only cognitive development but also social and emotional growth.

Experimental worlds add a further dimension to VR's educational utility by supporting inquiry-based learning and scientific experimentation. These environments enable students to test hypotheses, manipulate variables, and observe the effects of their actions in real-time. For subjects that require hands-on experimentation, such as chemistry or physics, VR experimental worlds offer a safe, flexible platform for exploration. Learners can perform complex experiments without the constraints of safety concerns or resource limitations, allowing for a deeper investigation into scientific principles. Additionally, these VR settings are ideal for exploring abstract or invisible processes, such as molecular interactions or gravitational forces, which are challenging to visualize in a traditional classroom. By simulating these processes, VR helps students build a concrete understanding of theoretical concepts, reinforcing their scientific reasoning and critical thinking skills.

The study's results highlight the potential of VR to serve as a powerful, multifaceted educational tool when carefully integrated into curricula. Each type of VR environment—training, construction, exploration, and experimental—addresses specific educational objectives, providing a structured and engaging approach to learning. The versatility of VR allows educators to meet a wide range of instructional goals, from building practical skills to fostering empathy and promoting inquiry-based learning. As VR technology continues to advance, its role in education is expected to grow, offering new possibilities for interactive and experiential learning. This study underscores the importance of aligning VR applications with pedagogical goals, ensuring that VR's unique features are fully leveraged to enhance both cognitive and affective learning outcomes. Through structured implementation within media didactics, VR has the potential to transform educational practices, offering immersive learning experiences that support diverse learner needs and prepare students for the complexities of the modern world.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal that VR's unique affordances—immersion, interaction, and imagination—make it a valuable educational tool when carefully integrated within a structured, didactic framework. Through an in-depth analysis, this study highlights how training, construction, exploration, and experimental VR environments support diverse educational goals, such as skill acquisition, conceptual understanding, experiential learning, and empathy. The results demonstrate that VR's immersive qualities enable a deeper connection with learning material, as learners are placed in realistic, controlled environments that allow them to practice, experiment, and engage more fully than in traditional learning settings. Training worlds, for example, provide an ideal platform for skill-based learning, where learners can repeatedly practice tasks, receive immediate feedback, and refine their techniques. This aligns with similar findings in vocational training literature, which show that VR enhances procedural knowledge by providing a hands-on, immersive experience that is often impractical in physical settings (Lerner et al., 2020).

In comparing these results to existing studies, it becomes evident that VR environments offer additional benefits for learning when compared to traditional methods or even non-immersive digital tools. For instance, recent studies on VR's use in healthcare training demonstrate that VR simulations help reduce learner anxiety and increase confidence by allowing for mistake-based learning in a risk-free environment (Lungu et al., 2021). Similarly, the use of VR exploration worlds aligns with findings in environmental education, where students who experience ecosystems in VR are shown to develop stronger commitments to conservation efforts compared to those using standard visual aids (Chiang, 2021). This suggests that VR's ability to elicit affective responses—such as empathy and emotional engagement—contributes to its effectiveness as an educational tool, particularly in fields where learners benefit from direct engagement with real-world challenges.



An important aspect of this analysis is the demonstrated efficacy of construction and experimental VR worlds in fostering creativity, conceptual understanding, and scientific reasoning. The study's findings suggest that construction worlds allow students to visualize and interact with abstract concepts, which aligns with constructivist approaches to learning. In subjects like physics and engineering, VR enables students to build and manipulate models, fostering spatial awareness and understanding of complex systems. These findings echo the work of Dalgarno and Lee (2010), argue that VR's interactive qualities support constructivist learning by allowing learners to build and test their knowledge through hands-on experimentation. Experimental VR worlds, similarly, enable learners to engage in inquiry-based learning by manipulating variables and testing hypotheses, making it easier to grasp scientific concepts that are challenging to replicate in physical environments. This finding is consistent with Dinet and Kitajima (2018) work on immersive interfaces, which demonstrates that VR-based experimentation can enhance scientific inquiry by allowing students to explore cause-and-effect relationships in safe, controlled settings.

Despite these promising results, there are limitations in this study that may affect the validity and generalizability of the findings. One limitation is the reliance on secondary data from existing literature and case studies, which limits the control over variables such as sample demographics, instructional design, and assessment methods. While the selected studies offer valuable insights, variations in VR applications, hardware, and implementation strategies may affect the consistency of outcomes. Additionally, most studies focus on short-term educational effects, such as immediate engagement and skill improvement, leaving a gap in understanding VR's long-term impact on knowledge retention and cognitive development. Future longitudinal research could address this limitation by examining VR's effects over extended periods to determine its potential for lasting educational benefits.

Another limitation is the accessibility and cost associated with VR technology, which may hinder its widespread adoption in education. Although VR tools like Google Cardboard offer low-cost entry points, high-quality immersive experiences often require advanced VR hardware and software, which can be expensive for schools and institutions with limited budgets. This barrier could affect the scalability of VR in education, as institutions with fewer resources may not have the means to implement VR as extensively as wealthier schools. Addressing this challenge may require further research into affordable VR solutions and policy support to ensure that VR-enhanced learning is accessible to a broader audience.

While this study demonstrates VR's potential as an impactful educational tool, there are practical considerations and limitations that educators and researchers must address. VR's effectiveness hinges on its alignment with pedagogical goals, making structured implementation within media didactics essential for achieving meaningful learning outcomes. Future research should continue to explore VR's long-term effects and investigate solutions to make VR more accessible, ensuring that its educational benefits reach a diverse range of learners. By addressing these limitations and refining implementation strategies, VR can be harnessed to provide transformative educational experiences that meet the varied needs of modern learners.

CONCLUSION

The conclusion of this study highlights the transformative potential of Virtual Reality (VR) as an educational tool, especially when applied within a structured media didactics framework. By categorizing VR applications into training worlds, construction worlds, exploration worlds, and experimental worlds, this research has provided a comprehensive framework for understanding how different types of VR environments can support diverse learning objectives, from skill acquisition to empathy development and scientific inquiry. Each VR environment offers unique affordances that align with specific educational goals, demonstrating VR's versatility and effectiveness across various educational contexts. This study has contributed to the field by establishing a didactic approach to VR integration, emphasizing the need for educators to align VR applications with instructional objectives to maximize educational impact.

The primary contribution of this manuscript is its categorization of VR environments based on their didactic potential, answering the research question of how VR can be effectively utilized in education to achieve targeted learning outcomes. By examining the distinct characteristics of each VR environment, the study provides educators and researchers with a framework for selecting VR applications that align with their instructional goals. Training worlds are shown to be particularly beneficial for skill-based learning, providing a safe and immersive environment where learners can practice procedural skills, receive immediate feedback, and improve their performance through repetition. Construction worlds foster conceptual understanding and creativity, allowing learners to build and manipulate virtual models that reinforce theoretical knowledge through hands-on interaction. Exploration worlds facilitate experiential and empathy-based learning, immersing students in environments that evoke emotional engagement and cultural awareness. Lastly, experimental worlds enable scientific exploration and hypothesis testing, providing students with a controlled space to investigate complex scientific concepts.

In addressing the research questions, this study also emphasizes the importance of aligning VR with structured educational frameworks to optimize learning outcomes. The findings reveal that when VR is thoughtfully implemented within media didactics, it can extend beyond traditional teaching methods to create engaging, learner-centered environments that enhance both cognitive and affective development. For example, the immersive nature of VR can



help bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, particularly in fields where hands-on experience is essential but difficult to achieve within conventional educational settings. This study's framework thus serves as a guide for educators aiming to incorporate VR in a way that is pedagogically sound, ensuring that VR is used not simply as a novel technology but as a purposeful tool that enhances the quality of education.

Despite its contributions, the study also acknowledges certain limitations, including the reliance on secondary data and the lack of longitudinal research on VR's long-term impact on knowledge retention and cognitive development. To build on these findings, future research should investigate the lasting effects of VR in education, as understanding how VR influences learning outcomes over extended periods is crucial for validating its role as a sustainable educational tool. Additionally, as VR technology continues to evolve, there is a need for studies that examine emerging VR applications and explore cost-effective solutions for making VR accessible to a broader audience. Addressing these challenges will require collaboration between educational institutions, technology developers, and policymakers to create affordable VR systems and scalable content that align with curriculum standards and educational goals.

This study suggests several practical recommendations to enhance VR's effectiveness in education. Educators are encouraged to start with clear didactic objectives and select VR environments that align with specific learning outcomes. For example, training worlds may be best suited for vocational training or skill-intensive fields, while exploration worlds can offer meaningful experiences in environmental science or history education. Providing introductory sessions to familiarize students with VR technology and integrating VR with established instructional methods, such as scaffolding or reflective learning, can also help optimize VR's impact on learning. Furthermore, rather than using VR as an isolated tool, educators are advised to adopt a blended approach, where VR experiences are supplemented with traditional teaching methods to provide a balanced and comprehensive learning experience.

This study underscores the significant potential of VR to transform educational practices by offering immersive, engaging, and interactive learning environments that cater to various educational needs. By aligning VR applications with pedagogical goals, educators can harness VR's unique affordances to create meaningful learning experiences that support both cognitive and affective development. Through thoughtful integration within media didactics, VR can enhance traditional learning, making it a valuable addition to modern educational settings. The findings of this study aim to serve as a foundation for future research and provide practical insights for educators seeking to adopt VR responsibly and effectively, paving the way for a more immersive and dynamic educational landscape.

REFERENCES

- Alizadehsalehi, S., Hadavi, A., & Huang, J. C. (2019). Virtual Reality for Design and Construction Education Environment. *AEI 2019*, 193–203. <https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784482261.023>
- Alnagrat, A. J. A. (2022). Virtual Transformations in Human Learning Environment: An Extended Reality Approach. *Journal of Human Centered Technology*, 1(2), 116–124.
- Alnagrat, A. J. A., Ismail, R. C., & Idrus, S. Z. S. (2021). Extended Reality (XR) in Virtual Laboratories: A Review of Challenges and Future Training Directions. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1874(1), 012031.
- Alnagrat, A. J. A., Ismail, R. C., & Idrus, S. Z. S. (2022). Design Safety Training Using Extended Reality Tracking Tools in Semiconductor Fabrication Laboratory Furnace BT - Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Robotics, Vision, Signal Processing and Power Applications (N. M. Mahyuddin, N. R. Mat Noor, & H. A. Mat Sakim (eds.); pp. 1041–1046). Springer Singapore.
- Babu, S. K., Krishna, S., Unnikrishnan, R., & Bhavani, R. R. (2018). Virtual reality learning environments for vocational education: A comparison study with conventional instructional media on knowledge retention. 2018 IEEE 18th International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT), 385–389.
- Barbero-Barrera, M. del M., Sánchez-Aparicio, L. J., & Gayoso Heredia, M. (2022). Pedagogía de la construcción: combinación de técnicas de aprendizaje. *X Jornadas Sobre Innovación Docente En Arquitectura (JIDA'22)*, Escuela Técnica Superior de Arquitectura de Reus, 17 y 18 de Noviembre de 2022: Libro de Actas, 163–171. <https://doi.org/10.5821/jida.2022.11539>
- Bonney, E., Jelsma, L. D., Ferguson, G. D., & Smits-Engelsman, B. C. M. (2017). Learning better by repetition or variation? Is transfer at odds with task specific training? *PLOS ONE*, 12(3), e0174214. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174214>
- Burdea, G. C., & Coiffet, P. (2024). *Virtual reality technology*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Chen, M., Zhang, W., Du, X., Liang, H., Wu, Y., Fan, Y., Jin, M., & Sun, J. (2023). Research on interactive teaching platform based on VR technology. In Y. Yue (Ed.), *International Conference on Precision Instruments and Optical Engineering (PIOE 2022)* (Vol. 12585, p. 52). SPIE. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2668106>
- Chiang, T. H.-C. (2021). Investigating effects of interactive virtual reality games and gender on immersion, empathy and behavior into environmental education. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 608407.
- Dalgarno, B., & Lee, M. J. W. (2010). What are the learning affordances of 3-D virtual environments? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 41(1), 10–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2009.01038.x>



- Dede, C. (2009). Immersive interfaces for engagement and learning. *Science*, 323(5910), 66–69.
- Dinet, J., & Kitajima, M. (2018). Immersive interfaces for engagement and learning: Cognitive implications. *Proceedings of the Virtual Reality International Conference-Laval Virtual*, 1–8.
- Hochmitz, I., & Yuviler-Gavish, N. (2011). Physical Fidelity Versus Cognitive Fidelity Training in Procedural Skills Acquisition. *Human Factors*, 53(5), 489–501. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720811412777>
- Lai, M., Taheri, K., Aziz, R., Milaire, P., Rothman, Z., Shi, K., & Nazerali-Maitland, A. (2023). Evaluation of a novel virtual reality immersive clinical experience to enhance medical education curriculum. *Canadian Medical Education Journal*, 15(3 SE-You Should Try This), 107–109. <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.73165>
- Lerner, D., Mohr, S., Schild, J., Göring, M., & Luiz, T. (2020). An immersive multi-user virtual reality for emergency simulation training: Usability study. *JMIR Serious Games*, 8(3), e18822.
- Lungu, A. J., Swinkels, W., Claesen, L., Tu, P., Egger, J., & Chen, X. (2021). A review on the applications of virtual reality, augmented reality and mixed reality in surgical simulation: an extension to different kinds of surgery. *Expert Review of Medical Devices*, 18(1), 47–62.
- Ly, S. L. S., Saade, R. G., & Morin, D. (2017). Immersive learning: Using a web-based learning tool in a phd course to enhance the learning experience. *Journal of Information Technology Education. Research*, 16, 227.
- Magalhães, R., Veloso, R., Gomes, P. V., Marques, A., & Pereira-Loureiro, J. (2023). Extended Reality in the Operating Room: Robot-Assisted Orthopedics Surgery with Live and Interactive Streaming for Medical Students. VI Congreso Xove TIC: Impulsando El Talento Científico. Octubre, 2023, A Coruña, 63–68.
- Mahmoud, K., Harris, I., Yassin, H., Hurkxkens, T. J., Matar, O. K., Bhatia, N., & Kalkanis, I. (2020). Does Immersive VR Increase Learning Gain When Compared to a Non-immersive VR Learning Experience? *BT - Learning and Collaboration Technologies. Human and Technology Ecosystems* (P. Zaphiris & A. Ioannou (eds.); pp. 480–498). Springer International Publishing.
- Marks, B., & Thomas, J. (2022). Adoption of virtual reality technology in higher education: An evaluation of five teaching semesters in a purpose-designed laboratory. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(1), 1287–1305. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10653-6>
- Milgram, P., & Kishino, F. (1994). A taxonomy of mixed reality visual displays. *IEICE TRANSACTIONS on Information and Systems*, 77(12), 1321–1329.
- Mystakidis, S., & Lympouridis, V. (2023). Immersive learning. *Encyclopedia*, 3(2), 396–405.
- Onkovich, A. (2013). *Media Didactics in Higher Education: Oriented Media Education* *BT - Worldwide Commonalities and Challenges in Information Literacy Research and Practice* (S. Kurbanoglu, E. Grassian, D. Mizrachi, R. Catts, & S. Špiranec (eds.); pp. 282–287). Springer International Publishing.
- Papak, P. P., & Mezak, J. (2021). Attitudes of Students, Future Teachers, to the Importance of Using Media in Teaching. 2021 44th International Convention on Information, Communication and Electronic Technology (MIPRO), 636–640. <https://doi.org/10.23919/MIPRO52101.2021.9596854>
- Parong, J., & Mayer, R. E. (2018). Learning science in immersive virtual reality. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 110(6), 785–797. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000241>
- Patil, S. (2021). PERSONAL LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS AS THE EPITOME OF SELF LEARNING IN THE NEW WORLD. *Towards Excellence*, 13(3).
- Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J., & Wohlgenannt, I. (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. *Computers & Education*, 147, 103778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103778>
- Skarbez, R., Smith, M., & Whitton, M. C. (2021). Revisiting Milgram and Kishino’s reality-virtuality continuum. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*, 2, 647997.
- Slater, M., & Sanchez-Vives, M. V. (2016). Enhancing our lives with immersive virtual reality. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 3, 74.
- Stern, M. J., & Powell, R. B. (2020). Field Trips and the Experiential Learning Cycle. *Journal of Interpretation Research*, 25(1), 46–50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1092587220963530>
- Triepels, C. P. R., Smeets, C. F. A., Notten, K. J. B., Kruitwagen, R. F. P. M., Futterer, J. J., Vergeldt, T. F. M., & Van Kuijk, S. M. J. (2020). Does three-dimensional anatomy improve student understanding? *Clinical Anatomy*, 33(1), 25–33. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ca.23405>
- Wang, Y., Wang, J., Li, Y., Yang, T., & Ren, C. (2022). The Deep Reinforcement Learning-Based VR Training System With Haptic Guidance for Catheterization Skill Transfer. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 22(23), 23356–23366. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2022.3212989>
- Wang, Yanqing, Gong, S., Cao, Y., Lang, Y., & Xu, X. (2023). The effects of affective pedagogical agent in multimedia learning environments: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*, 38, 100506. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2022.100506>
- Xiao, Q., Li, Z., Shi, K., & Gao, X. (2023). Electric locomotive electrical control training based on virtual reality technology. *Engineering Reports*, 5(5), e12638.

-
- Xu, Y. (2022). Exploration and Practice of Virtual Simulation Laboratory Construction of Environmental Art Based on Computer Aid. *Advances in Education, Humanities and Social Science Research*, 1(3), 339.
- Zhang, J. (2019). Immersive Virtual Reality Training to Enhance Procedural Knowledge Retention. <https://doi.org/10.25394/PGS.8307560.v1>
- Zhang, Z., Wen, F., Sun, Z., Guo, X., He, T., & Lee, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence-enabled sensing technologies in the 5G/internet of things era: from virtual reality/augmented reality to the digital twin. *Advanced Intelligent Systems*, 4(7), 2100228.
- Zhu, K., Cao, J., Chen, G., He, Q., & Zhang, P. (2023). A General Construction Method of Virtual Simulation Experiment Platform Based on Bibliometrics and Analytic Hierarchy Process. *Education Sciences*, 13(1), 80. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13010080>