Decision Support System Budget Allocation Fund Village With AHP method (Analytic Hierarchy Process) In the village of beehive

Ilham Pramuja Nasution¹, Arjon Samuel Sitio²

^{1,2}Informatics Engineering Study Program, STMIK Pelita Nusantara, Jl. St. Iskandar Muda 1
Medan, North Sumatra, Indonesia, 20154

E-mail: ilhamham013@gmail.com

Abstract-The budget allocation of a village fund is very important and take big effect to village progress Because the office to distribute the tax result for village development. But, that allocation is not accurately. Therefore there was design a system to support a decision a budget allocation of village funds by using an Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) method. This research done to the make-easy an office village in budgeting is the allocation of village funds. An method of Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) is one of a method known as important as the highest level. An AHP method is look for the best alternative. So,

Keywords : Allocation, Decision Support System, AHP

1. Introduction

Developments in information technology and information systems are so central in today's era of making almost all aspects of life can not be spared from the use of a common computer device komputer. Penggunaan is the use of computers in an enterprise where one of the sources of information in the most influential organization of its existence.

The budget allocation of the Village Fund (ADD) financial budget given by government to the village, the which is where the source of taste of various local taxes and financial fund perimbanganpusat and regions received by the District. Minister in accordance with regulations in the State No. 37 of 2007 on the guidelines pengelolaan finance village in Article 18 states that, "The allocation of funds the village comes from the budget of the District / Municipal sourced from funds perimbanganpusat financial and Regions received by District / City sourced from the fund balance of the financial center and area received by District / City to the Village at least 10% (ten percent) ".

Implementation of the Village Fund Allocation (ADD) in the village is intended to support and fund programs in the village of Desa penyelenggaraan and implementation activities, community development and empowerment berdasarkan meeting results in leveling tersebut untuk village building the village.

The village head is still doing the allocation of budgetary funds by consensus to the community village and hamlet head so it takes quite a long time, and keakurat the data is still lacking. This causes the amount of funds Obtained yang dapat still inaccurate and still manually.

2. Theory

A. Decision Support Systems (DSS)

DSS is an interactive information providing information, modeling, and pemanipulasi data. Sistem used to aid decision-making in situations of semi-structured and unstructured situations, where no one knows for sure how the decision should be made (Dr.Kursrini, M. Kom, 2017: 15).

B. Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)

According to Dicky Nofriansyah, Comm., M. Kom. & Prof. Dr. Sarjon Defit, Skom., M.Sc. (2017: 57), 'Methods *Process Analytical Hierarchy* (AHP) is one method of decision support system is unique roomates Compared to others. This is Because The weighting of the criteria, the weighting of each criterion is not determined in advance but is determined using a formula of this method is based on priority (level of importance) are sourced from nature perceptual tabel. Metode a method, the which means that the importance of an alternative criterion depends viewpoint or perspective in judging someone.

According to Dr. Kusrini, M.Kom (2017: 135), the steps in the method of Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) include:

- a. Defining the problem and Determine the desired solution, and then draw up a hierarchy of problems faced

- b. Determining the priority elements
- c. synthesis
- d. measuring consistency
- e. Calculate Consistency index (Ci) with the formula:

$$Ci = (\lambda maks - n)/n$$

Where n = number of elements

- f. Calculate the ratio of consistency / Consistency Ratio (RI) with the formula:

$$CR = CI/RC$$

Checking konsistensi. Jika value is more than 10%, then the judgment must be corrected assessment data. But if consistency (CI / CR) is less than or equal to 0.1, then the calculation must be stated Correctly.

3. Results and Discussion

A. problem analysis

Budget allocation decision support system of the Village Fund With AHP method (Analytic Hierarchy Process) In the village of Hierarcy Sialang. Analytical Process (AHP) is one method of decision support system that is unique Compared to others.

This is Because The weighting of the criteria, the weighting of each criterion is not determined in advance but is determined using a formula of this method is based on priority (level of importance) are sourced from nature perceptual tabel. Metode a method, the which means that the importance of an alternative criterion depends viewpoint or perspective in judging someone.

B. Discussion

The steps in this method are as follows:

- a) Defining the problem danmenentukan desired solution.

In Determining the allocation of the village, it can be broken down into elements Several items, namely the criteria and alternatives. As for the criteria in Determining the allocation of the village are:

- Area

The sub-criteria of KriteriaLuas Area in Determining the allocation of the village as follows,

Area:

= 40H 69H A- A : Just Need

= 70H A- 99HA: Requires

= 100ha-130H A: It Requires

Community needs

Criteria Requirements Peoples, in Determining the allocation of the village as follows:

Community Needs:

= 0-3: Requires Enough

= 4-6: Requires

= 7-10: It Requires

Peoples jobs,

Employment criteria Peoples, in Determining the allocation of the village as follows:

Public Works:

= Employee : Just Need

= Traders : Requires

= Farmers : Urgently require

type Development

Criteria in Determining the type of Development Appropriations village as follows:

Development Type:

= General : Just Need

= Infrastructure : Requires

= Clothing Food: It Requires

total population

Population Determining criteria in the allocation of the village as follows:

Total population:

= 150 cc - 199 cc: Just Need

= 200 cc - 249 cc: Requires

= 250 and above : Urgently require

- b) Creating a Hierarchical Structure

Picture 1. The hierarchy of the Village Fund Allocation

c) Make a Comparison Matrix

Table 1.

Pairwise Comparison Assessment Scale

The intensity of Interests	information
1	Both elements are equally important.
3	Elements that one a little bit more important Than other elements.
The intensity of Interests	information
7	One element penitng Clearly more absolute than any other element.
9	One absolutely essential element of the other elements.
2,4,6,8	Value - a value between two values related considerations
reverse	If activity i got one point Compared with activity j, then j have the opposite values Compared to i.

d) MembuatPrioritasKriteria
Make a Comparison Matrix

Table 2
Comparison matrix

criteria	Area	Community needs	public Works	type Development	total population
Area	1:00	$= 1/50:20$	$= 1/30:33$	$= 1/50:20$	$= 1/30:33$
Community needs	5:00	1:00	3:00	$= 1/50:20$	$= 1/30:33$
public Works	3:00	$= 1/30:33$	1:00	$= 1/20:50$	$= 1/50:20$
type of development	5:00	5:00	2:00	1:00	$= 1/30:33$
total population	3:00	3:00	5:00	3:00	1:00
amount	17:00	9:53	11:33	4.90	2:20

information:

Row 2 column 2, Total Area - Total Area value ratio of 1 means the two elements are equally important.

Row 2 column 3, Total Area - Needs Peoples, comparison $= 1/50:20$, the mean area element Area is more important than the needs of the people element.

Row 2 column 4, Total Area - Works communities ratio $= 1 / 30.33$, Total Area means the element slightly less important than job element Peoples ,.

Row 2 column 5, Total Area - Type Development ratio $= 1 / 50.20$, Total Area means the element is not more important than the element type Development

Row 2 column 6, Total Area - Total Population ratio $= 1 / 30.33$, Total Area means the elements a little more important than the element of Population.

After normalization value comparison is completed then the sum of comparison values each - each column, to a two column Total Area: $1 + 5 + 3 + 5 + 3 = 17$, and for the column three Community Needs: $1 + 0.33 + 0.20 + 5 + 3 = 9.53$, and for the four columns of Public Works: $0.33 + 3 + 1 + 2 + 5 = 11.33$, and for the five columns Building type: $0.33 + 0.33 + 0.20 + 0.33 + 1 = 4.90$, and for the six columns Population: $0.33 + 0.33 + 0.20 + 0.33 + 1 = 2.20$, could seen from table 4.4 comparison matrix.

Doing Comparison Troubled

Table 3.
Troubled comparison

criteria	Area	Community needs	Peoples jobs,	type Developm ent	total population	the value of the number of lines	avera ge
Area	$\frac{1}{17} = 0:06$	$\frac{0:20}{9:53} = 0:02$	$\frac{12:33}{11:33} = 0:03$	$\frac{0:20}{4:90} = 0:04$	$\frac{0:33}{2:20} = 0:15$	0:30	0:06
Peoples needs,	$\frac{5}{17} = 0:29$	$\frac{1}{9:53} = 0:10$	$\frac{3}{11:33} = 0:26$	$\frac{0:20}{4:90} = 0:04$	$\frac{0:33}{2:20} = 0:15$	0.86	0:17
Peoples jobs,	$\frac{3}{17} = 0:18$	$\frac{0:33}{9:53} = 0:03$	$\frac{1}{11:33} = 0:09$	$\frac{0:50}{4:90} = 0:10$	$\frac{0:20}{2:20} = 0:09$	0:49	0:10
type Developme nt	$\frac{5}{17} = 0:29$	$\frac{5}{9:53} = 0:52$	$\frac{2}{11:33} = 0:18$	$\frac{1}{4:90} = 0:20$	$\frac{0:33}{2:20} = 0:15$	1:35	0:27
total population	$\frac{5}{17} = 0:18$	$\frac{3}{9:53} = 0:31$	$\frac{5}{11:33} = 0:44$	$\frac{3}{4:90} = 0.61$	$\frac{1:00}{2:20} = 0:45$	2:00	0:40
						amount	1:00

Calculating the Value eigenvectors Each Matrix

Table 4.
Value eigenvectors

lambda Max	5.98
Ci	0:24
cr	0:22

The formula: $Ci = (\lambda \text{ max } - n) / (n-1)$

$$N = 5$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \text{ max} &= (\text{Number comparison matrix column} * \text{Average} - \text{Average}) \\ &= (17.00 * 0.6) + (9.53 * 0.17) + (11.33 * 0.10) + (4.90 * 0.27) + (2.20 * 0.40) \\ &= 5,98 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} CI &= (\lambda \text{ maks } - n) / (n-1) \\ &= (5,98 - 5) / (5-1) \\ &= 0,24 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} CR &= (CI / IR) \\ &= 0,24 / 1:12 \\ &= 0,22 \end{aligned}$$

If the calculation results $CR < 0.1 = 0:22 < 0.1$ then the ratio of the consistency of Reviews These calculations can be accepted.

After It is also calculated in accordance priority sub-criteria such as the above steps are. Adapaun step sub priority criteria, the following criteria:

e) Calculating Priority Criteria Sub Criteria From Total Area

Make a Comparison matrix

Table 5
Comparison Matrix Total Area

criteria	Urgently require	need	requires enough
Urgently require	1:00	3:00	5:00
need	$\frac{1}{3} 0:33$	1:00	3:00
requires enough	$\frac{1}{5} 0:20$	$\frac{1}{3} 0:33$	1:00
amount	1:53	4:33	9:00

information:

Row 2 column 2, desperately needs - desperately need one comparison value, means that the two elements are equally important.

Row 2 column 3, desperately needs - requires a comparison value 3, meaning elements in desperate need of a little more important than the element of need.

Row 2 column 4, is in desperate need - just needs a value ratio of 5 means very requires more important element of the element requires.

After normalization value comparison is completed then the sum value of the comparison in each column to column two Very Requires: $1+0.33+0.20 = 1.53$, and for three columns Requires: $3 + 1 + 0.33 = 4.33$, and for four columns, please Requires: $5 + 3 + 1 = 9$, can be seen in table 4.7 comparative matrix area.

Troubled Comparison calculate Total Area

Table 6
Troubled Comparison Total Area

criteria	Urgently require	need	requires enough	the value of the number of lines	average
Urgently require	0.65	0.69	0.56	1.90	0.63
need	0.22	0.23	0.33	0.78	0.26
requires enough	0.13	0.08	0.11	0.32	0.11
	amount				1.00

Calculating the Value eigenvectors Each Matrix

Table 7
Value eigenvectors Total Area

lambda max	3.06
Ci	0.03
cr	0.05

formula : $Ci = (\lambda \max - n) / (N-1)$
 $N = 3$

$\lambda \max = (\text{Number comparison matrix column} * \text{Average} - \text{Average})$
 $= (1.53 * 0.63) + (4.33 * 0.26) + (9.00 * 0.11)$
 $= 3.06$

$CI = (\lambda \max - n) / (n-1)$
 $= (3.06-3) / (3-1)$
 $= 1.49$

$CR = (CI / IR)$
 $= 1.49 / 0.58$
 $= 0.5$

If the results of the calculation of $Cr < 0.1 = 0.5 < 0.1$ then the ratio of acceptable consistency of the calculation can be seen in Table 4.9 eigenvectors niali area.

f) Calculating Priority Sub Criteria Community Needs

Creating a Community Needs Comparison Matrix

Table 8
Comparison Matrix Peoples,

criteria	Urgently require	need	requires enough
Urgently require	1:00	5:00	7:00
need	0:20	1:00	3:00
Simply take	0:14	0:33	1:00
amount	1:34	6,33	11:00

information:

Row 2 column 2, desperately needs - desperately need one comparison value, means that the two elements are equally important.

Row 2 column 3, urgently need - requires value ratio of 5 means very requires more important element of the element requires.

Row 2 column 4, is in desperate need - just requires a ratio of 7 means the element is in dire need Clearly absolutely essential element in need.

After normalization value comparison is completed then the sum of comparison values each - each column to column two desperately need: $1+0.20+0.14 = 1.34$, and for the three columns need: $5 + 1 + 0.33 = 6.33$, and for the four columns requires enough: $7 + 3 + 1 = 11$, can be seen from the table comparison matrix 4:10 society needs.

Make Comparison Troubled Community Needs

Table 9.
Needs Troubled comparison Peoples,

criteria	Urgently require	need	requires enough	the value of the number of lines	average
Urgently require	0.74	0.79	0.64	2:17	0.72
need	0:15	0:16	0:27	0:58	0:19
Simply take	0:11	0:05	0:09	0:25	0:08
				amount	1

Calculating the Value eigenvectors Each Matrix

Table 10
Value eigenvectors Community Needs

lambda Max	3:11
CI	0:06
CR	0:10

formula : $C_i = (\lambda_{\max} - n) / (N-1)$

$N = 3$

$\lambda_{\max} = (\text{Number comparison matrix column} * \text{Average} - \text{Average})$

$= (1.34 * 0.72) + (6.33 * 0.19) + (11.00 * 0.08)$

$= 3:11$

$C_i = (\lambda_{\max} - n) / (n-1)$

$= (3, 11-3) / (3-1)$

$= 0.06$

$Cr = (C_i / Cr)$

$= 0.06 / 0.58$

$= 0, 10$

If the results of the calculation of $Cr < 0.1 = 0:10 < 0.1$ then the ratio of acceptable consistency of the calculation can be seen in Table 10 niali eigenvectors community needs.

g) Calculating Priority Sub Criteria Of Public Works

Make a Comparison Matrix

Table 11
Comparison Matrix Public Works

criteria	Urgently require	need	requires enough
Urgently require	1	2	5
need	0.5	1	4
quite Need	0.2	0:25	1
amount	1.7	3:25	10

information:

Row 2 column 2, desperately needs - desperately need one comparison value, means that the two elements are equally important.

Row 2 column 3, urgently need - requires value ratio of 2, it means that the element is in dire need a little more important than the element requires

Row 2 column 4, is in desperate need - just needs a value ratio of 5 means very requires more important element of the element requires.

After normalization value comparison is completed then the sum of comparison values each - each column to column two desperately need: $1+0.05+0.02 = 1.7$, and for the three columns need: $2 + 1 + 0.25 = 3.25$, and untukkolom four reasonably require: $5 + 4 + 1 = 10$, can be seen from the table 4:13 Matrisk job comparison masyarakat

- Make Troubled Comparison Matrix

Calculating the Value eigenvectors Each Matrix

Table 12
Troubled Comparative Public Works

criteria	very Membtuhkan	need	requires enough	Value Number of Rows	Average
Urgently require	0:59	0:62	0:5	1:70	0:57
need	0:29	0:31	0:4	1:00	0:33
requires enough	0:12	0:08	0:1	0:29	0:10
				amount	1:00

Table 12
Value eigenvectors Public Works

lambda max	3:03
Ci	0:02
cr	0:03

formula : $C_i = (\lambda_{\max} - n) / (N-1)$
N = 3

$\lambda_{\max} = (\text{Number comparison matrix column} * \text{Average} - \text{Average})$
 $= (1.7 * 0.57) + (3.25 * 0.33) + (10.00 * 0.10)$
 $= 3.03$

$C_i = (\lambda_{\max} - n) / (n-1)$
 $= (3.03-3) / (3-1)$
 $= 0:02$

$Cr = (C_i / Cr)$
 $= 0,02 / 0,58$
 $= 0.3$

If the results of the calculation of $Cr < 0.1 = 0.3 < 0.1$ then the ratio of acceptable consistency of the calculation can be seen in Table 12 niali eigenvectors Community job.

h) Calculating Priority Criteria Sub Type Development

- Make a Comparison Matrix

Table 13
Comparison Matrix Development Type

criteria	Urgently require	need	requires enough
Urgently require	1	3	5
need	$= 1/3$ 0:33	1:00	3
requires enough	$= 1/5$ 0:20	$= 1/3$ 0:33	1
amount	1:53	4:33	9

information:

Row 2 column 2, desperately needs - desperately need one comparison value, means that the two elements are equally important.

Row 2 column 3, desperately needs - requires a comparison value 3, meaning elements in desperate need of a little more important than the element of need.

Row 2 column 4, is in desperate need - just needs a value ratio of 5 means very requires more important element of the element requires.

After normalization value comparison is completed then the sum of comparison values each - each column to column two desperately need: $1 + 0:33 + 0:20 = 1.53$, and for the three columns need: $3 + 1 + 0.33 = 4.33$, and for the four columns requires enough: $5 + 3 + 1 = 9.00$, can be seen from the table 4:17 Matrisk comparison type of development.

- Doing Comparison Troubled

Table 14
Troubled Comparison Type Development

criteria	Urgently require	need	requires enough	the value of the number of lines	average
Urgently require	0.65	0.69	0:56	1.90	0.63
need	0:22	0:23	0:33	0.78	0:26
requires enough	0:13	0:08	0:11	0:32	0:11

---

amount	1:00

- Calculating the Value eigenvectors Each Matrix

Table 15
Value eigenvectors Type Development

lambda max	3:06
Ci	0:03
cr	0:05

formula : $C_i = (\lambda_{\max} - n) / (N-1)$

$N = 3$

$\lambda_{\max} = (\text{Number comparison matrix column} * \text{Average} - \text{Average})$
 $= (1.53 * 0.63) + (4.33 * 0.26) + (9.00 * 0.11)$
 $= 3.06$

$C_i = (\lambda_{\max} - n) / (n-1)$
 $= (3.06-3) / (3-1)$
 $= 1.49$

$Cr = (C_i / Cr)$
 $= 1.49 / 0.05$
 $= 29.8$

If the results of the calculation of $Cr < 0.1 = 29.8 > 0.1$ then the ratio of acceptable consistency of the calculation can be seen in Table 15 niali eigenvectors Type Development.

- i) Calculating Priority Sub Criteria Population

- Make a Comparison Matrix

Table 16
Comparison Matrix Population

criteria	Urgently require	need	requires enough
Urgently require	1	3	5
need	0:33	1:00	3
requires enough	0:20	0:33	1
amount	1:53	4:33	9

information:

Row 2 column 2, desperately needs - desperately need one comparison value, means that the two elements are equally important.

Row 2 column 3, desperately needs - requires a comparison value 3, meaning elements in desperate need of a little more important than the element of need.

Row 2 column 4, is in desperate need - just needs a value ratio of 5 means very requires more important element of the element requires.

After normalization value comparison is completed then the sum of comparison values each - each column to column two desperately need: $1+0.33+ 0.20 = 1.53$, and for the three columns need: $3 + 1 + 0.33 = 4.33$, and for the four columns requires enough: $5 + 3 + 1 = 9.00$, can be seen from the table 4:20 Matrisk comparison population.

- Doing Comparison Troubled

Table 17
Comparison Troubled Population

criteria	Urgently require	need	requires enough	The value of the number of lines	Average
Urgently require	0.65	0.69	0:56	1.90	0.63
need	0:22	0:23	0:33	0.78	0:26
requires enough	0:13	0:08	0:11	0:32	0:11
amount					1:00

- Calculating the Value eigenvectors Each Matrix

Table 18
Value eigenvectors Population

lambda max	3:06
Ci	0:03
cr	0:05

formula : $C_i = (\lambda_{\max} - n) / (n-1)$

$n = 3$

$\lambda_{\max} = (\text{Number comparison matrix column} * \text{Average} - \text{Average})$
 $= (1.53 * 0.63) + (4.33 * 0.26) + (9.00 * 0.11)$
 $= 306$

$C_i = (\lambda_{\max} - n) / (n-1)$
 $= (3, 06-3) / (3-1)$
 $= 1, 49$

$Cr = (C_i / Cr)$
 $= 1,49 / 0,58$
 $= 0.5$

If the results of the calculation of $Cr < 0.1 = 0.5 < 0.1$ then the ratio of acceptable consistency of the calculation can be seen in Table 4:22 niali eigenvectors population.

j) Counting Results

After doing the criteria and sub-criteria decision menentukan results.

Table 19
Results value

Area	Peoples needs,	Peoples jobs,	type Development	total population
0:06	0:17	0:10	0:27	0:40
Urgently require	Urgently require	Urgently require	Urgently require	Urgently require
0.63	0.72	0:57	0.63	0.63
need	need	need	need	need
0:26	0:19	0:33	0:26	0:26
enough	enough	enough	enough	enough
0:11	0:08	0:10	0:11	0:11

information:

12:06 Value of Total Area Obtained from value - average comparison problematic can be seen from Table 4.5 Comparison of Problematic.

0.63 Value of Highly Requires Obtained from value - average comparison problematic area can be seen from the Area Table 4.8 Comparison of Total Area Problem.

0:26 value of Need Obtained from value - average comparison problematic area can be seen from the Area Table 4.8 Comparison of Total Area Problem.

12:11 Value of Adequate Obtained from value - average comparison problematic area can be seen from the Area Table 4.8 Comparison of Total Area Problem.

k) Calculating Priority Outcomes

The final result of the calculation of all criteria and sub-criteria that already in the set and has been made.

4. Result

Table 20
Priority Outcomes

name	Area	needs of society	Peoples jobs,	type pembagunan	total population	Total	information
I hamlet	0:01	0:03	0:03	0:03	0:10	0.2	not feasible
hamlet II	0:01	0:01	0:06	0:17	0:04	0:29	not feasible
hamlet III	0:04	0:12	0:06	0:07	0:04	0:33	not feasible
hamlet IV	0:04	0:12	0:03	0:17	0:25	0.61	worthy

information:

hamlets I = 0:01 is Obtained from the average criteria area * average sub-criteria reasonably require = 0:06 * 0:11

II = 0:01 hamlet is Obtained from the average criteria area * average sub-criteria reasonably require = 0:06 * 0:11

hamlet III = 0:04 Obtained from an average of broad criteria areas * average sub-criteria reasonably require = 0,0,63 * 0:11

IV = 0:04 hamlet is Obtained from the average area of criteria, sub-criteria * Average reasonably require = 0,0,63 * 0:11

5. Conclusion

Based on the discussion of the research, it was concluded as follows:

- This system aims to assist in decision making for the allocation of budget funds a good village to expedite work at the village office.
- In this calculation method research *Analytical Hierarchy Process*(AHP).
- Based on calculations of the data allocation of budgetary funds, the which received the most important village is the hamlet IV with the most important value = 0.61 Eligible.

6. Reference

- [1] Ari Chandra Kirana, " *Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Menentukan Teknisi Mesin EDC (Electronic Data Capture) Terbaik Menggunakan Metode Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)* ", Pelita Informatika Budi Darma, 2014, 2301-9425.
- [2] Ariani Susanti, " *Perancangan Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penentuan Jurusan Siswa SMA Negeri 2 Kuta Cane Berbasis Web Dengan Menggunakan Metode Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)* ", Pelita Informatika Budi Darma, 2014, 2301-9425.
- [3] Bardansyah, " *Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Penentuan Sekolah Favorit Tingkatan Sekolah Menengah Pertama Swasta dengan Menggunakan Metode Analytical Hierarchy Process* ", Pelita Informatika Budi Darma, 2014, 2301-9425.
- [4] Dicky Nofriansyah, Sarjon Defit, 2017. *Multi Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) Pada Sistem Pendukung Keputusan*. Yogyakarta: Deepublish Publisher.
- [5] Edianto Berutu, " *Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pengangkatan Karyawan Tetap Dengan Metode Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) Pada Pt. Perkebunan Lembah Bhakti Provinsi NAD Kab. Aceh Singkil* ", Pelita Informatika Budi Darma, 2014, 2301-9425.
- [6] Ermawati, " *Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemilihan Calon Peserta Cerdas Cermat Dengan Metode Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)* ", Pelita Informatika Budi Darma, 2014, 2301-9425.
- [7] Intan Pratiwi, " *Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemilihan Rumput Terbaik Untuk Pembuatan Taman Dengan Metode Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)* ", Pelita Informatika Budi Darma, 2014, 2301-9425.
- [8] Jubilee Enterprise, 2018. *HTML, PHP, dan MySQL untuk Pemula*, Jakarta: Gramedia.
- [9] Lina Yanta Panggabean, " *Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemilihan Orthodontik (Behel) Pada Pt. Cobta Dental Cabang Medan Menggunakan Metode Analytical Hierarchy Process* ", Pelita Informatika Budi Darma, 2014, 2301-9425.
- [10] Melva Deli Yanti Lumban Raja, " *Sistem Manajemen Download Dalam Upaya Meminimalisir Pemborosan Bandwidth Menggunakan Metode Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)* ", Pelita Informatika Budi Darma, 2014, 2301-9425.
- [11] Sylvia Hartati Saragih, " *Penerapan Metode Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) Pada Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Pemilihan Laptop* ", Pelita Informatika Budi Darma, 2014, 2301-9425.
- [12] Terta Ganda, " *Sistem Pendukung Keputusan Untuk Menentukan Penerima Bantuan Sosial Tahunan Dari Perusahaan Dengan Metode Analytical Hierarchy Process* ", Pelita Informatika Budi Darma, 2014, 2301-9425.